# **Naive Set Theory**

#### cardinal arithmetic and number

**Def 1** We use card A to describe the comparative sizes of a set A, which is called the cardinal number of A.

**Def 2** we use =, <, >,  $\le$ ,  $\ge$  to descirbe the order of cardinal number, which defined by following sentences.

$$card\ A = card\ B \iff A \sim B$$
 $card\ A > card\ B \iff A \succ B$ 
 $card\ A < card\ B \iff A \prec B$ 
 $card\ A \geq card\ B \iff A \succeq B$ 
 $card\ A \leq card\ B \iff A \leq B$ 

**Def 3** A,B ars disjoint sets and  $card\ A=a,card\ B=b,$  then we use a+b to describe  $card\ A\cup B$ 

Remark: If we use  $C\sim A, D\sim B$ , and C,D are pairwise disjoint, then  $card\ C\cup D=a+b$ , which means a+b is well-defined and it's independent of the choice of A,B

## Prop 1

• commutative: a + b = b + a

• associative: a+(b+c)=(a+b)+c proof: use the definition of set union

**Exe 1** a,b,c,d are cardinal numbers of some set. If  $a\leq b,c\leq d$ , then  $a+c\leq b+d$ 

proof: assume  $card\ A=a, card\ B=b, card\ C=c, card\ D=d.\ A, B, C, D\$  are all disjoint, then

$$\exists B_1 \subset B, A \sim B_1$$
  
$$\exists D_1 \subset D, C \sim D_1$$

for  $B_1,D_1$  are disjoint,we have  $a+c=card\ A\cup C=card\ B_1\cup D_1$ , and we have  $b+d=card\ B\cup D$ . because  $B_1\cup D_1\preceq B\cup D$ , we have  $a+c\leq b+d$ .  $\square$ 

**Def 4** for  $\{A_i\}$  is a correspondingly indexed family of pairwise disjoint sets such that  $card\ A_i=a_i$ , then

$$\sum_i a_i = card \ \cup_i \ A_i$$

**Def 5** A,B ars sets and  $card\ A=a,card\ B=b,$  then we use ab to describe  $card\ A\times B$ 

#### Prop 2

• commutative: ab = ba

• associative: a(bc) = (ab)c

ullet multiplication distribute over addition a(b+c)=ab+ac proof: use the definition of set union and Cartesian product

**Exe 2** a,b,c,d are cardinal numbers of some set. If  $a \leq b,c \leq d$ , then  $ac \leq bd$ 

proof: similar to Exe1

**Def 6** for  $\{A_i\}$  is a correspondingly indexed family of sets such that  $card\ A_i=a_i$ , then

$$\prod_i a_i = card \, imes_i A_i$$

**Exe 3** if  $\{a_i\}, \{b_i\}, i \in I$  are families of cardinal numbers such that  $a_i < b_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\sum_i a_i < \prod_i b_i$ 

proof: assume that  $\sum_i a_i \ge \prod_i b_i$ , then for pairwize disjoint sets  $A_i, B_i, card\ A_i = a_i, card\ B_i$ , there exits an onto map:

$$f:\cup_i A_i o imes_i B_i$$

for  $u \in imes_i B_i$ , denote  $\pi_i(u)$  as the  $i_{th}$  component of  ${\mathsf u}$ 

then we have  $\pi_i(f(A_i)) \subset B_i$  and by  $a_i < b_i$  ,there exits  $v_i \in B_i - \pi_i(f(A_i))$ 

then  $imes_i\{v_i\}$  is not in  $\cup_i f(A_i)$ , it's contractive.  $\square$ 

**Def 4** for  $card\ A=a, card\ B=b, a^b=card\ (A^B),$  by  $A^B=\{f: f \text{ is a map from B to A}\}$ 

#### Prop 3

- $\bullet \ \ a^{b+c}=a^ba^c$
- $\bullet \ (ab)^c = a^c b^c$
- $a^{bc} = (a^b)^c$

hint: we can divide f into two parts.

Exe 4

- if a, b, c are cardinal numbers such that  $a \leq b$ , then  $a^c \leq b^c$
- ullet if a,b are finite, greater than 1, and c is infinite, then  $a^c=b^c$

proof: we refer a result cc=c then  $b^c \leq c^c \leq (2^c)^c = 2^{cc} = 2^c \leq a^c$ 

by **Schroder Bernstein Thm.**  $a^c = b^c \square$ 

remark:  $2^c = c^c$ 

Prop 4

- a is finite and b is infinte, then a+b=b
- a is infinite, then a + a = a
- a,b are cardinal number at least one of which is infinite, c is the larger one, then a+b=c
- a is infinite, then aa = a

Exe 5

- if a, b are at least one of which is infinite, then a + b = ab
- ullet if a is infinite and b is finite, then  $a^b=a$

**Prop 5** for each set X, the ordinal numbers equivalent to X constitute a set

**Def 5** card~X is an ordinal number  $\alpha$  such that if  $\beta$  is an ordinal number equivalent to  $\alpha$ , then  $\alpha \leq \beta$ 

**Thm 1** (Cantor's paradox) there is not an upper bound over all ordinal number

Exe 6 each infinite cardinal number is a limit number

Exe 7

- ullet if  $card\ A=a$ , what is the cardinal number of the set of all one-to-one mappings of A onto itself
- ullet what is the cardinal number if the set of all countably infinite subsets of A

### remark:

- ullet continuum hypothesis:  $leph_1=2^{leph_0}$
- ullet generalized continuum hypothesis:  $leph_{lpha+1}=2^{leph_lpha}$  , for all ordinal number lpha